

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,777	01/17/2006	Arik Hasson	24024-510 NATL	3627
	7590 05/02/200 N, COHN, FERRIS, GI	EXAM	EXAMINER	
AND PÓPEO, I ONE FINANCI	P.C.	SAJJADI, FEREYDOUN GHOTB		
BOSTON, MA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			1633	
•	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

< X

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/564,777	HASSON ET AL.	HASSON ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Fereydoun G. Sajjadi	1633					
The MAILIN Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status			•					
2a) This action if 3) Since this a	oplication is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	• •	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claim								
 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers	•							
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examin (s) filed on is/are: a) acc y not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-50 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

1. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-23, drawn to a method of *ex-vivo* expanding stem and/or progenitor cells, while at the same time substantially inhibiting differentiation of said cells.

Group II, claim(s) 24-26, drawn to a method of preparing stem and/or progenitor cell conditioned medium, and conditioned medium isolated from said expanded cells.

Group III, claim(s) 27-50, drawn to a method of transplanting *ex-vivo* expanded stem and/or progenitor cells into a recipient.

37 CFR 1.475 (c) states:

"If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present."

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when a shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The technical feature, which is shared by Groups I-III, is the *ex-vivo* expansion of stem cells or progenitor cells while at the same time inhibiting their differentiation. Groups I-III do not share a special technical feature over the art because Pykett et al. (U.S. Patent No: 6,645,489; filed May 10, 2002), discloses the long-term culture and expansion of hematopoietic progenitor cells in an

Application/Control Number: 10/564,777

Art Unit: 1633

apparatus comprising three-dimentional porous biomaterial while maintaining their pluripotency (Abstract), as demonstrated by the isolation of CD34⁺ CD8⁻ cells after 6 weeks in culture (Example 1).

Group I-III claims are drawn to distinct methods that utilize distinct steps, requiring non-coextensive search and examination. Thus, it follows from the preceding analysis that the claimed inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding technical features for the reasons set forth above.

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A specific culturing condition as recited in claims 1 and 27, steps (i)-(ix).

A specific source of stem cells, as recited in claims 2 and 28.

A specific cytokine as recited in claims 11-13 and 38-40.

A specific inhibitor of PI 3-kinase, as recited in claims 15 and 42.

A specific bioreactor, as recited in claims 16, 17, 43 and 44.

A specific porous scaffold, as recited in claims 20, 21, 47 and 48.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/564,777

Art Unit: 1633

Claims 1, 2, 11-13, 15-17, 20, 21, 27, 28, 38-40, 42-44, 47 and 48, and claims dependent therefrom correspond to all the species listed above.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-50.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As the technical features linking the members do not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, particularly since the different culture conditions, signaling pathways, retinoids, nicotinamides, copper chelators, PI 3-kinase inhibitors, the different tissue sources of stem cells, the different cytokines, the different bioreactors and porous scaffolds are each structurally and functionally distinct, having no substantially shared common technical features, where each is not required for the other, requiring non-coextensive search and examination of their respective subject matter. Thus, the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1633

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fereydoun G. Sajjadi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3311. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fereydoun G. Sajjadi, Ph.D.

Examiner, AU 1633

ANNE M. WEHBE PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5